



Overview

- 1. Project Tiering: Assumptions
- 2. Project Phase: Timeline for Implementation
 - Plan Alignment
 - o Local plans
 - o Basin Plans
 - o CO Water Plan
- 3. Minimum Criteria: Meets Core Data Needs
- 4. Criticality: Meeting the Overall Basin Goals, Addressing the Supply-Demand Gap





Project Tiering: Assumptions

The CWCB has developed a Project Tiering Matrix to tier projects identified in the BIP (see table below). The LE Team has developed assumptions to apply these criteria systematically across the full Project List (+200 projects). Due to the variability in project information provided by different project proponents and the variety of project types, these assumptions are designed to help the LE Team apply a consistent approach to the tiering process.

PROJECT PHASE	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	Assigned Tier
Timeline for	Ready to launch projects that are	Projects that could launch within two	Needs two to five years to launch were	Not shown or longer	
Implementing project	immediately implementable (within one	years were funding to be made available	funding to be secured.	than five years to	
phase selected from	year) were funding to be made available	or if funding is in the process of being		implementation.	
drop-down (below):	or if funds have already been secured;	secured; does not apply for a "Concept"			
	does not apply for a "Concept" project.	project.			
Concept					Tier 2
PLAN ALIGNMENT	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	Assigned Tier
Basin Plans	Strongly aligns with Basin	Somewhat aligned with Basin	Not as well aligned with Basin	Not shown.	
	Implementation Plan.	Implementation Plan.	Implementation Plan.		
					Tier 2
Local Plans	Extensive local planning, organizational	Some local planning or organizational	Not clearly identified in any local plan,	Not shown.	
	support and water rights support the	support for the project; water rights may	organizational effort; water rights		
	project.	or may not be explicitly identified.	concerns are noted; may be under		
			consideration or going through a		
			permitting process.		Tion 1
Water Plan	Donafita was thinks an above in the Colombia	Donafita modelinia anatomi in the Coloneda	Donafita and action in the Coloured	Nat ale acces	Tier 1
	Benefits multiple sectors in the Colorado	Benefits multiple sectors in the Colorado	Benefits one sector in the Colorado	Not shown.	
	Water Plan including each of the	Water Plan including at least 2 of the	Water Plan including one of the		
	following: agriculture, environment &	following: agriculture, environment &	following: agriculture, environment &		
	recreation, and municipal & industrial.	recreation, and municipal & industrial.	recreation, and municipal & industrial.		
	May also provide other benefits and cite	May also provide other benefits and cite	May also provide other benefits and		
	specific goals or actions in the plan.	specific goals or actions in the plan.	cite specific goals or actions in the		
			plan.		Tier 2
MINIMUM CRITERIA	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	Assigned Tier
Meets Core Data	Includes all 21 criteria.	Meets critical subset; (16) designated	Provides only a few details; critical	Not shown.	
Needs (list of 21)*		critical data fields.	subset is not complete.		Tier 2
NEED	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	Assigned Tier
Criticality	Critical to basin (would cause severe	Significant basin effort (fully aligns with	Project could be of basin interest but	Not shown.	Assigned Her
Ciricanty	impact to the basin if the project didn't	basin goals); implementation or plan	may not as directly advance basin	NOT SHOWII.	
		9 " '			
	move forward; (Basin Priority and/or	would advance basin goals; has clear	goals; may not have clear metrics		
	Emergency Need); Has clear metrics for	metrics for tracking and completion date.	and/or may not have a clear end date		
	tracking and completion date.		or objectives.		Tier 2
Priority categorization is calculated from the tier cumulative ranking above.			PRIORITY CATEGORIZATION		Tier 3
	TIER 1	TIER 2	TIER 3	TIER 4	
SUPPORT BY TIER	Supported & Ready	Supported & Pursued	Supported & Developing	Considering	

Project Phase: Timeline for Implementation

Tiering is dependent on project schedule (provided in the project description), status, and additional background knowledge of project. Many project proponents did not provide a project schedule. In these cases, the following assumptions based on provided project status will be generally applied to projects:

- Indicated by the project proponent to be in the Implementing stage = Tier 1/Tier 2
 - "Implementing" is defined as: Project is underway (legislation is being drafted, study is underway, project is under construction, design beyond feasibility and conceptual phase, etc.)
- Indicated by project proponent to be in the Planning stage = Tier 3
 - "Planned" is defined as: Steps are being taken to move it forward (initiated permitting, seeking funding, forming a committee or group, feasibility study, conceptual design, etc.)





- Indicated by project proponent to be in the Concept stage = Tier 4
 - "Concept" is defined as: Project is an idea, there are no specific plans to implement yet.
 - o Note: Projects classified as "Concept" will automatically result in an overall Tier 3.

NOTE: The LE Team understands the tier based on status may not align with your project schedule. However, without further information on anticipated project schedule, these assumptions will be used to apply consistency in tiering across the Project List.

The term "ready to launch" will be defined as follows:

- <u>Infrastructure projects</u> = the project is ready for construction, which generally includes:
 - Design is substantially complete
 - Permitting is substantially complete
 - No outstanding legal or water rights administration issues
 - There is overall political support
- <u>Planning/Study projects and other nonstructural projects</u> = the project proponent has the resources available (i.e., a draft scope and budget, internal support,)
 - A Tier 2 will be applied to Planning/Study projects if no additional information is provided as the LE Team assumes the study could begin within the Tier 2 timeline.

Plan Alignment

Local Plans

- Tier 1 will include projects whose water rights are decreed or not required and permitting is substantially completed.
 - Planning/Study projects are assumed to be Tier 1 as water rights and permitting are not required.
- Tier 2 includes projects with little to no water rights considerations and smaller-scale permitting requirements.
- Tier 3 includes projects where water rights have not been identified or the water court process has not started and complex permitting has not started or is still occurring (i.e., NEPA, 404, 1041)

Basin Plan

- Most projects will be assumed to fall into a Tier 2 as many of the BIP Goals and Strategies align with benefiting multiple water sectors, which is evaluated in the "Water Plan" criterion.
- Tiering may be adjusted based on alignment with the Goals and Strategies.

Water Plan

- Tier 1 supports all 3 water use categories (M&I, Agriculture, Environmental and Recreation)
- Tier 2 supports 2 water use categories
- Tier 3 supports 1 water use category





Secondary project benefits to other water use categories beyond those identified by the project proponent will be considered when applying tiering assumptions. An example includes Environmental and Recreational projects with a water quality component or ancillary benefit. This type of project would be classified as Tier 2 due to its downstream water quality improvements for Municipal and Agriculture needs.

Minimum Criteria: Meets Core Data Needs

No change will be made to scoring. The LE Team will confirm that all Environment and Recreational projects include "N/A" for estimated water yield and capacity so these data categories are not counted against Environment and Recreational projects that do not have these attributes.

Criticality

Due to the subjectivity of this criterion, most projects will be assumed to be a Tier 2. The LE Team will apply this criterion from the basin-wide perspective – that is, criticality to meeting the overall basin goals and the needs of many water users and the ability of the project to address the current basin-wide supply-demand gap. It is recognized that projects will have much higher criticality to the individual water users than to the overall basin.